

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are now in the application. The specification has been amended at page 6 to correct an inadvertent typographical error by rewriting "form" in place of "foam".

Claim 1 has been amended to recite "containing a solvent", "a gas reaction zone" in place of "the gas reaction zone" and "wherein said gas reaction zone being available for formaldehyde to react with said 4-amino-4-phenyl-2-ene-2-one" for purposes of clarification and not to limit its original scope.

Claim 2 has been amended to recite "comprises a sheet formed by ..." for purposes of clarification and not to limit its original scope. Claim 4 has been amended by deleting "from" for purposes of clarification and not to limit its scope. Claim 5 has been amended to recite by deleting "not les" and "s", reciting "contains not less" and reciting "wt" in place of "wet" for purposes of clarification and not to limit its scope.

Newly presented claim 7 finds support at page 7, penultimate paragraph and Figure 4. Newly presented claim 8 finds support at page 6, last paragraph, page 7, lines 5-6 and Figure 3. Claim 9 finds support at page 7, last line. Claims 10 and 13 find support on page 7, lines 4-14 and Figure 3. Claims 11 and 14 find support at page 7, lines 12-14. Claims 12, 15 and 16 find support at page 6, last paragraph and page 7, lines 5 and 6 and Figure 3.

The amendments to the specification, claims and newly presented claims 8-16 do not introduce any new matter.

The objection to claim 2 has been taken care of by amending claim 2 to recite "comprises a sheet formed by ...". The objection to claim 5 has been overcome by reciting "contains not less" and by deleting "not les" and "s".

The rejections of claims 1-6 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph have been obviated by the above amendments to the claims and/or are not deemed tenable.

In particular, claim 1 now recites "a gas reaction zone" in place of "the gas reaction zone". Claim 1 also now recites "containing a solvent". Claim 1 now recites "wherein said gas reaction zone being available for formaldehyde to react with said 4-amino-4-phenyl-2-ene-2-one to provide an explanation of the significance of the "gas

reaction zone”

The rejection of claim 4 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph has been overcome and/or is not deemed tenable by deleting the word “from”.

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are, therefore, respectfully solicited.

In the event that the Examiner believes an interview might serve to advance the prosecution of this application in any way, the undersigned attorney is available at the telephone number noted below.

Please charge any necessary fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 22-0185.

Dated: 12-30-04

Respectfully submitted,

By

Burton A. Amernick

Registration No.: 24,852

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800

Washington, DC 20036-3425

202-331-7111

202-293-6229 (fax)

Attorney for Applicant